

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/965,879	09/28/2001	Richard D. Smith	JLD-1113-US		
7:	590 02/26/2002				
Jackie Lee Duke			EXAMINER		
Attorney at Law Suite 100			BOLES, DEREK		
1001 West Loo					
Houston, TX	77027		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			3749	•	
			DATE MAILED: 02/26/2002		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		T			`		
	** **	Application No.		Applicant(s)			
		09/965,879		SMITH, RICHARD D.			
	Office Action Summary	Examiner		Art Unit			
: 		Derek S. Boles		3749			
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply	ears on the cover	sheet with the c	orrespondence addre	ess		
THE I - Exter after - If the - If NO - Failu - Any r	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Issions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period were to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, pely received by the Office later than three months after the mailing of patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, howev within the statutory minin ill apply and will expire S cause the application to	rer, may a reply be tim num of thirty (30) days IX (6) MONTHS from become ABANDONEI	ely filed swill be considered timely. the mailing date of this comn O (35 U.S.C. § 133).	nunication.		
1)[🛛	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 28 S	September 2001 .					
2a) <u></u> □	This action is FINAL. 2b)⊠ Thi	is action is non-fin	al.				
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowardsed in accordance with the practice under				merits is		
Dispositi	on of Claims						
4)🖾	Claim(s) 1-25 is/are pending in the application						
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw	vn from considera	tion.				
5) 🗌	Claim(s) is/are allowed.		,				
6)⊠	Claim(s) 1-25 is/are rejected.						
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8)□	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	r election requirem	nent.				
•	on Papers	•					
	Γhe specification is objected to by the Examiner	·.					
	The drawing(s) filed on <u>28 September 2001</u> is/a		or b) objected	to by the Examiner.			
•	Applicant may not request that any objection to the		•	•			
11) 🔲 🗆	The proposed drawing correction filed on	is: a)⊟ approved	d b) disappro	ved by the Examiner.			
	If approved, corrected drawings are required in rep	ly to this Office action	on.				
12) 🔲 🛚	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Exa	aminer.					
Priority u	nder 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120						
13)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	priority under 35	U.S.C. § 119(a))-(d) or (f).			
a)[☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:		, ,	., .,			
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents	s have been receiv	ved.				
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Bur ee the attached detailed Office action for a list of the control of the control of the certification for a list of the certification for the certification of the certification	eau (PCT Rule 17	7.2(a)).		age		
	cknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic	•			onlication)		
a)	The translation of the foreign language processions.	visional applicatio	n has been rece	eived.	prioditoriy.		
Attachment	•	- p	3.5.5. 33 120	STATE OF THE PARTY			
1) Notice	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) 🔲 1		(PTO-413) Paper No(s). atent Application (PTO-1			
S. Patent and Tr TO-326 (Rev		tion Summary		Part of Pa	aper No. 2		

Application/Control Number: 09/965,879

Art Unit: 3749

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1 and 10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Mason (4,558,637) in view of Rickert et al. (5,738,581). Mason discloses all of the limitations of the claims except for a sealing mortar being applied between the ridge row vent and the roofing tiles. Rickert et al. discloses the presence of a sealing mortar being applied between the ridge row vent and the roofing tiles. See col. 4, lines 36-41. Hence, one skilled in the art would find it obvious to modify the heating system of Mason to include the sealing mortar being applied between the ridge row vent and the roofing tiles of Rickert et al. for the purpose of preventing weather ingress. See 30 for ridge row header, 20 for ventilation slot, 46 for ridge row vent and 32 for ridge row cap tiles.

Claims 2-9 and 11-25 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable Mason in view of Rickert et al. and in further view of Wolfert (4,325,290). Mason in view of Rickert et al. discloses all of the limitations of the claims except for providing a filtration material. Wolfert discloses the presence of a filtration material. See 80. Hence, one skilled in the art would find it obvious to modify the system of Mason in view of Rickert et al. to include a filtration material of Wolfert for the purpose of preventing weather ingress. Regarding claims 4, 13 and 21 see 20 of Mason. Regarding claims 5, 14 and 22 see 54 of Mason. Regarding claims 6, 15 and 23, see col.

Page 3

Application/Control Number: 09/965,879

Art Unit: 3749

6 lines 14-20. Regarding claims 7 and 16, see col. 6 lines 9-13. Regarding claims 8, 17 and 24, see 40. Regarding claims 9, 18 and 25, see 44.

Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. The provided references are representative of the state of the art that is applicable to the applicant's invention. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Derek S. Boles at (703) 308-1804 or fax number (703) 746-4569 or derek.boles@uspto.gov. Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0861. The Supervisory Primary Examiner for Art Unit 3749 is Denise Esquivel who can be reached at (703) 308-2597 or at denise.ferensic@uspto.gov.

D.S.B.

DEREK S. BOLES
PATENT EXAMINER
GROUP 3700